# **Isotropisation in the Approach to a Singularity**

#### **Jerome Quintin**

Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics (Albert Einstein Institute), Potsdam, Germany



Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik ALBERT-EINSTEIN-INSTITUT



*Copernicus Webinar Series* October 25th, 2021

Mainly based on Ganguly & JQ [arXiv:2109.11701]

## **Motivation**

• The early universe can be explained by a period of inflation after the big bang

−→ produces a **flat**, **isotropic** universe with **scale-invariant** curvature perturbations

- However, other alternatives exist that could also explain the early universe
	- $\rightarrow$  often involves physics 'before the big bang'
- E.g., bouncing cosmology, where the 'primordial physics' occurs during a **contracting phase** (prior to a bounce and the onset of standard big bang cosmology with radiation domination)

## Bouncing cosmology

Flatness problem:  $\Omega = 1$ , where  $(8\pi G_N = 1$  throughout this talk)

$$
\Omega \equiv \frac{\rho_{\rm matter}}{3H^2} = 1 + \frac{k}{(aH)^2},
$$

is an attractor for  $\dot{a} < 0$  and  $1 + 3w > 0$  ( $w \equiv p/\rho$ ):

$$
Friedmann eqs. \implies \frac{d|\Omega - 1|}{dt} = (1 + 3w) \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right) \Omega(\Omega - 1)
$$

- $\checkmark$  Horizon problem: comoving horizon  $|aH|^{-1}$  is very large initially and shrinks
- Structure formation problem: certain fields can generate scale-invariant scalar perturbations, e.g.,
	- adiabatic **'dust field'** Wands [gr-qc/9809062], Finelli & Brandenberger [hep-th/0112249]
	- entropic **negative exponential scalar field** (ekpyrotic) Lehners *et*

*al.* [hep-th/0702153], Buchbinder *et al.* [hep-th/0702154]

## Bouncing cosmology

✗ Anisotropy problem: anisotropies typically tend to grow and dominate over everything else as  $a \searrow 0$  (in the approach to the would-be big crunch singularity)

For different components with  $\rho^{(w)} \propto a^{-3(1+w)}$   $(w < 1)$ ,

$$
3H^2 = \Lambda - \frac{k}{a^2} + \frac{\rho_0^{\text{(mat.)}}}{a^3} + \frac{\rho_0^{\text{(rad.)}}}{a^4} + \frac{\rho_0^{\text{(ani.)}}}{a^6} \, .
$$

(This does not appear to be a problem for matter with  $w > 1$ .)

- $\Rightarrow$  Any bouncing alternative to inflation that wants to be viable and legitimately considered must solve these problems:
	- $\triangleright$  anisotropies must not disrupt the contracting background that generates the right perturbations;
	- $\blacktriangleright$  anisotropies must not disrupt the bounce.

#### Anisotropy evolution in GR with a perfect fluid

• Consider a Bianchi type-I metric:

$$
g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = -dt^2 + a(t)^2 \sum_{i=1}^3 e^{2\beta(i)(t)}(dx^i)^2
$$
,  $\sum_{i=1}^3 \beta(i)(t) = 0$ 

 $a_{(i)} = ae^{\beta_{(i)}}$ ,  $\ln a = \langle \ln a_{(i)} \rangle$ ,  $H \equiv \dot{a}/a = \langle H_{(i)} \rangle$ ,  $H_{(i)} = H + \dot{\beta}_{(i)}$ 

• Hypersurface with timelike unit normal  $u^{\mu}$  has

$$
K_{\mu\nu}_{\text{extr. curv.}} = (\underbrace{g_{\mu\nu} + u_{\mu}u_{\nu}}_{h_{\mu\nu}})H + \underbrace{\sigma_{\mu\nu}}_{\text{shear}}, \quad \sigma_i^j = \dot{\beta}_{(i)}\delta_i^j, \quad \sigma^2 \equiv \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{ij}\sigma^{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^3 \dot{\beta}_{(i)}^2
$$

Einstein field equations  $\implies$  FLRW + shear anisotropy component:

$$
3H^2 = \rho + \sigma^2, \quad 2\dot{H} = -(\rho + p) - 2\sigma^2
$$

$$
\dot{\sigma}_i{}^j + 3H\sigma_i{}^j = 0 \implies \ddot{\beta}_{(i)} + 3H\dot{\beta}_{(i)} = 0 \implies \dot{\beta}_{(i)} \propto a^{-3}
$$

$$
\implies p_\sigma = \rho_\sigma = \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^3 \dot{\beta}_{(i)}^2 \propto a^{-6}
$$

## Anisotropy evolution in GR with a perfect fluid

- $\triangleright$  Shear anisotropy component behaves as a set of massless scalar fields  $\mathcal{L}=-\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \beta_I \partial^\mu \beta^I$ , i.e., with stiff EoS
- $\triangleright$  When the shear anisotropy component dominates,

$$
H^2 \sim \sigma^2 \propto a^{-6} \implies a(t) \sim |t|^{1/3}
$$

- $\longrightarrow$  Kasner singularity as  $t \nearrow 0$
- −→ 'Belinski-Khalatnikov-Lifshitz (BKL) instability'
- $\blacktriangleright$  Tuning the initial conditions for anisotropies to remain subdominant would be quite huge (see additional slides)

## **Ekpyrosis**

• How about scalar fields with negative exponential potential?

$$
\mathcal{L} = \sum_{I} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi_{I} \partial^{\mu} \phi_{I} + V_{I} e^{-c_{I} \phi_{I}} \right), \quad V_{I} > 0, \ c_{I}^{2} > 6
$$

- Those can arise as moduli of higher-dim. brane constructions in string theory (e.g., distance between 'end-of-the-world' branes)
- Background scaling solution:

$$
a(t) \propto (-t)^{1/\epsilon}
$$
,  $\epsilon \equiv -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_I c_I^{-2} \right)^{-1} > 3$ ,  $w = \frac{2\epsilon}{3} - 1 > 1$ 

• Direction  $\perp$  to background trajectory in field space generates scale-invariant scalar perturbations

## Isotropisation in ekpyrosis

• Ekpyrotic fields dilute anisotropies

$$
3H^2 = \ldots + \frac{\rho_0^{(\text{ani.})}}{a^6} + \frac{\rho_0^{(\text{ek.})}}{a^{2\epsilon}} \,, \qquad \epsilon > 3
$$

 $\rightarrow$  FRW is an attractor "Cosmic no hair for collapsing universes", Lidsey [hep-th/0511174]

• Highly efficient and even robust to large anisotropic, curved, and inhomogeneous ICs Garfinkle *et al.* [0808.0542], figure below addapted from Ijjas *et al.* [2006.04999]



## Isotropisation with massive gravity

• In GR:

$$
S \supset \int d^3x dt \, a^3 \left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{\beta}_{(i)}^2\right) \quad \stackrel{\delta_{\beta_{(i)}}S=0}{\Longrightarrow} \quad \ddot{\beta}_{(i)} + 3H\dot{\beta}_{(i)} = 0
$$

But if the graviton has a mass  $m_a$ :

$$
S\supset \int\mathrm{d}^3x\mathrm{d}t\,a^3\left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{\beta}^2_{(i)}-\frac{1}{2}m^2_g\beta^2_{(i)}\right)\stackrel{\delta_{\beta_{(i)}}S=0}{\Longrightarrow}\ddot{\beta}_{(i)}+3H\dot{\beta}_{(i)}+m^2_g\beta_{(i)}=0
$$

• If  $m_g^2 \gg H^2$ , then anisotropies behave like an oscillating massive field with matter EoS in average: the EOMs are solved for

$$
\beta_{(i)}(t) \propto \frac{\sin(m_g t)}{m_g t}, \quad H(t) = \frac{2}{3t} \implies \rho_\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^3 \left( \dot{\beta}_{(i)}^2 + m_g^2 \beta_{(i)}^2 \right) \propto a^{-3}
$$

- Anisotropies may be subdominant even during matter domination!
- ⇒ Solves many issues of "matter bounce cosmology" at once

Lin, Brandenberger & JQ [1711.10472]

#### Isotropisation with massive gravity

• 0-mode tensor perturbations  $\equiv$  anisotropies:

$$
\delta g_{ij} = a^2 \gamma_{ij} \implies \sigma_i^j = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\gamma}_i^j
$$
  
\n
$$
\implies S \supset \int \mathrm{d}^3 x \mathrm{d}t \, a^3 \left( (\dot{\gamma}_i^j)^2 - (\vec{\nabla} \gamma_i^j)^2 - m_g^2 (\gamma_i^j)^2 \right)
$$
  
\n
$$
\vec{\nabla} \underline{\gamma_i^j} \to 0 \qquad \ddot{\gamma}_i^j + 3H \dot{\gamma}_i^j + m_g^2 \gamma_i^j = 0 \qquad \longrightarrow \gamma_i^j \text{ suppressed}
$$

- $\Rightarrow$  Solves the large tensor-to-scalar ratio problem of matter bounce cosmology JQ *et al.* [1508.04141], Li, JQ *et al.* [1612.02036]
	- $m_q \lesssim \mathcal{O}(10^{-23} \text{ eV})$  today  $\implies m_q$  would have had to be time dependent to have  $m_a > |H_{\text{bounce}}|$
	- Adding a mass to a spin-2 field typically excites 3 new d.o.f.
	- Only the 2 standard polarisation modes if Lorentz invariance is partially broken Dubovsky *et al.* [hep-th/0411158], Lin & Labun [1501.07160], Lin & Sasaki [1504.01373], Domènech *et al.* [1701.05554], Lin & Mukohyama [1708.03757], Kuroyanagi *et al.* [1710.06789]

## Isotropisation with a non-perfect fluid

Details in Ganguly & JQ [arXiv:2109.11701] from here on

• Ignoring heat transfer,

$$
T_{\mu\nu} = \rho u_{\mu} u_{\nu} + p h_{\mu\nu} + \pi_{\mu\nu}
$$

−→ EOMs are modified:

$$
\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = -\pi^{ij}\sigma_{ij} , \qquad \dot{\sigma}_i{}^j + 3H\sigma_i{}^j = \pi_i{}^j
$$

• A fluid with shear viscosity has an anisotropic stress according to

$$
\pi_{ij} = -2\eta \sigma_{ij}
$$

AdS/CFT points to a universal lower bound on shear viscosity e.g., Son & Starinets [0704.0240]

$$
\frac{\eta}{s} \geq \frac{1}{4\pi}
$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  From kinetic theory,

$$
\eta \sim c_{\rm s} \rho \ell_{\rm mfp}
$$

## Toy model: finite-temperature interacting field theory

• Canonical scalar field, minimally coupled to gravity, with potential

$$
V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4!}\phi^4
$$

Extreme regimes:

\n- $$
T \ll m
$$
, matter-like,  $\rho \sim a^{-3}$
\n- $T \gg m/\lambda$ , radiation-like,  $\rho \sim a^{-4} \propto T^4$
\n

• At high-T, the  $\lambda \phi^4$  self interaction implies a cross-section

$$
\sigma \sim \frac{\lambda^2}{T^2} \implies \ell_{\rm mfp} \sim \frac{1}{n\sigma} \sim \frac{1}{\lambda^2 T} \implies \eta \sim \rho \ell_{\rm mfp} \sim \frac{T^3}{\lambda^2} \propto a^{-3}
$$
  
\n
$$
\blacktriangleright \text{ Note that } \eta/s \sim 1/\lambda^2 \gtrsim 1 \text{ for } \lambda \lesssim 1
$$

• Shear evolution 
$$
(\eta = \kappa/a^3, \kappa > 0)
$$
:

$$
\dot{\sigma_i}^j + 3H\sigma_i^j = \pi_i^j = -2\eta \sigma_i^j \implies \dot{\sigma_i}^j + 3H\sigma_i^j = -2\frac{\kappa}{a^3}\sigma_i^j
$$

• Assuming radiation domination initially (FLRW),  $a(t) = \sqrt{t/t_0}$  $(t, t_0 < 0)$  and the solution reads

$$
\sigma^2 \propto \frac{1}{a^6} \exp\left(-\frac{8\kappa|t_0|}{a}\right) \xrightarrow{a \searrow 0} 0
$$

⊗ Caveat: one cannot trust this all the way to  $a \setminus b$  since viscosity only makes sense on length scales smaller than the size of the system, here when  $\ell_{\rm mfp} < |H|^{-1}$ , but  $\ell_{\rm mfp} \sim T^{-1} \sim a$  and  $H^2 \sim \rho \sim a^{-4}$ 

 $\otimes$  In the similar spirit, it does not make sense to take the  $\lambda \searrow 0$  limit

- Consider a situation where shear is already dominating the universe
- $\triangleright$  Can the viscosity from the subdominant radiation-like interacting scalar field isotropise the universe while in the regime  $\ell_{\rm mfp} < |H|^{-1}$ ?
- $\blacktriangleright$  Let's numerically solve

$$
\dot{\rho} + 4H\rho = \frac{4T_0^3}{\lambda^2} \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^3 \sigma^2, \qquad \dot{\sigma}_i^j + 3H\sigma_i^j = -\frac{2T_0^3}{\lambda^2} \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^3 \sigma_i^j
$$

$$
\lambda = 10^{-3}, \quad H_0 = -10^{-50}, \quad \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\rho_0} = 10^{15}
$$



- Is this robust to the inclusion of curvature anisotropies?
- $\blacktriangleright$  In a Bianchi type-IX spacetime,

$$
h^i{}_j = a^2 \operatorname{diag} \left( e^{2\beta_+ + 2\sqrt{3}\beta_-}, e^{2\beta_+ - 2\sqrt{3}\beta_-}, e^{-4\beta_+} \right)
$$

$$
U(\beta_+, \beta_-) = \frac{1}{4}e^{-8\beta_+} - e^{-2\beta_+}\cosh\left(2\sqrt{3}\beta_-\right) + e^{4\beta_+}\sinh^2\left(2\sqrt{3}\beta_-\right)
$$

$$
3H^2 = \rho + \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{a^2}U(\beta_+, \beta_-), \quad -2\dot{H} = \rho + p + 2\sigma^2 + \frac{2}{3a^2}U(\beta_+, \beta_-)
$$
  

$$
\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = 4\eta\sigma^2, \quad \ddot{\beta}_{\pm} + 3H\dot{\beta}_{\pm} + \frac{1}{6a^2}\partial_{\beta_{\pm}}U = -2\eta\dot{\beta}_{\pm}, \quad \sigma^2 = 3(\dot{\beta}_{\mp}^2 + \dot{\beta}_{\mp}^2)
$$



#### What about other cosmic fluids exhibiting viscosity

- Consider a gas of nearly pressureless dust-like matter: this is typically unstable to gravitational collapse
- $\triangleright$  Fluid with small sound speed  $\implies$  gravitational instability =⇒ black hole formation JQ & Brandenberger [1609.02556], Chen *et al.* [1609.02571]
- $\blacktriangleright$  Black holes attract each other gravitationally (they 'interact')  $\implies$  a 'fluid of black holes' is viscous
- ► Small black holes  $(R \ll |H|^{-1})$ , dilute gas:

$$
\sigma \sim \left(\frac{R}{c_s^2}\right)^2, \qquad \ell_{\rm mfp} \sim \frac{1}{n\sigma} \sim \frac{c_s^4}{\rho R}, \qquad \eta \sim c_s \rho \ell_{\rm mfp} \sim \frac{c_s^5}{R} \approx \text{const.}
$$

$$
\dot{\sigma}_i{}^j + 3H\sigma_i{}^j = -2\eta \sigma_i{}^j \implies \sigma^2 \sim \frac{e^{4\eta|t|}}{a^6}, \ |t| \searrow 0
$$

## Dilute dust-like black hole gas

If the background is matter dominated at first (FLRW),

$$
\frac{\sigma^2}{\rho} = \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^3 \exp\left[-\frac{4\eta}{3|H_0|}\left(1 - \left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right)^{3/2}\right)\right]
$$

**Dimethm** One has isotropisation only if  $\sigma^2/\rho$  is decreasing, which can happen if





#### Dilute dust-like black hole gas

 $\otimes$  The problem here is that  $\eta > \eta_{\min}$  only if

 $c_{\rm s}^5 > R|H_0|$ ,

but recall that viscosity only makes sense if  $\ell_{\rm mfp} < |H|^{-1}$ , which amounts to

 $c_{\rm s}^4 \lesssim R|H|$ .

✗ Therefore, a dilute gas of black holes cannot realistically be viscous enough to isotropise a dust-like contracting universe

## Let's push the black hole gas picture to the limit

- Imagine large black holes  $(R \sim |H|^{-1})$  that dominate the universe near a big crunch
- Conjectured to be the state of matter at high density in the early universe, e.g., in string theory Banks & Fischler [many papers], Veneziano [e.g., hep-th/0312182], Masoumi & Mathur [1406.5798], Masoumi [1505.06787], JQ, Brandenberger, Gasperini & Veneziano [1809.01658], Mathur [2009.09832]
- Consider a volume with  $N \sim V/R^3$  black holes:

$$
E \sim NM \sim \frac{V}{R^2}, \quad S \sim NR^2 \sim \frac{V}{R} \implies S \sim \sqrt{EV}
$$

$$
\implies T = \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial E}\right)_V^{-1} \sim \sqrt{\frac{E}{V}} = \sqrt{\rho}, \quad p = T\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial V}\right)_E = \frac{E}{V} = \rho
$$

• Stiff  $p = \rho$  fluid with  $s \equiv S/V \sim \sqrt{\rho}$ 

• No shear by construction since we recover the Friedmann equation

$$
\rho = \frac{E}{V} \sim \frac{1}{R^2} \sim H^2
$$

## Dense black hole gas viscosity

• As before

$$
\sigma \sim R^2
$$
,  $\ell_{\rm mfp} \sim \frac{1}{n\sigma} \sim R$ ,  $\eta \sim \frac{1}{R} \sim |H| \sim \sqrt{\rho}$ ,  $\frac{\eta}{s} = \text{const.}$ 

So for  $\eta = \kappa |H|$  with  $\kappa$  of order 1, we have

$$
\dot{\sigma_i}^j + 3H\sigma_i^j = 2\kappa H \sigma_i^j \implies \sigma^2 \propto \frac{1}{a^{6-4\kappa}}
$$

forbids anisotropies from winning over compared to the 'stiff background' with  $\rho \propto a^{-6}$ :

$$
\frac{\sigma^2}{\rho} \propto a^{4\kappa} \stackrel{a \searrow 0}{\longrightarrow} 0
$$

- In other words, anisotropies can never develop
- Only microphysical example of  $\eta \propto \sqrt{\rho}$ , which was known as a parametrisation to lead to an isotropic singularity (full isotropisation by the  $time\ a=0$ ) Belinski [1310.5112], Belinski & Henneaux, Ganguly & Bruni [1902.06356], Ganguly [2008.02286]

## **Summary**

- In GR with a  $T_{\mu\nu}$  satisfying the DEC, anisotropies always end up dominating in a contracting universe
	- $\rightarrow$  it's a problem for bouncing cosmology
	- $\rightarrow$  e.g., it would involve fine tuning the ICs in matter domination more than to resolve the curvature problem of standard big bang cosmology (see additional slides)
- Ekpyrosis ( $p_{\phi} > \rho_{\phi}$ ) appears well suited and robust as a resolution to this problem
- Other resolutions exist though:
	- $\blacktriangleright$  massive gravity
	- $\triangleright$  viscous fluid (any realistic interacting fluid)
	- In other modified gravity (e.g., limiting curvature Sakakihara, Yoshida, Takahashi & JQ [2005.10844]; see additional slides)

## **Summary**

Isotropisation due to viscosity:

- Finite- $T \lambda \phi^4$  theory can robustly isotropise the universe to radiation-dominated FLRW for  $\mathcal{O}(100)$  e-folds, but not all the way to arbitrarily small  $a$ 
	- $\rightarrow$  could still be part of a bigger scenario
- Dust-like fluid as a dilute gas of black holes exhibits viscosity, but not enough to remain isotropic
	- $\rightarrow$  still BKL unstable, so no good for matter bounce
- Hypothetical dense black hole gas is viscous  $(\eta \propto \sqrt{\rho})$  and is robust against the growth of anisotropies
	- $\rightarrow$  relevant for stringy constructions proposing this state of matter
- $\Rightarrow$  Realistic fluids have interactions at the microscopic level and therefore viscosity, which can often play an important role in the cosmology  $\longrightarrow$  e.g., gravitational wave damping

#### **Thank you for your attention!**

I acknowledge support from the following agencies:





## **Additional slides**

#### How much of a problem?

Can't we fine-tune the initial conditions? Levy [1611.08972]

• Recall

$$
\Omega_k \equiv \frac{\rho^{(\text{curv.})}}{3H^2} \propto \frac{1}{(aH)^2} = \frac{1}{\dot{a}^2}
$$

• Flatness problem in standard big bang cosmology (say radiation dominated with  $a \propto \sqrt{t}$ ,  $T \propto 1/a$ ):

$$
\frac{\Omega_k(t_{\text{today}})}{\Omega_k(t_{\text{Pl}})} = \frac{t_{\text{today}}}{t_{\text{Pl}}} = \left(\frac{T_{\text{Pl}}}{T_{\text{today}}}\right)^2 \approx e^{146}
$$

 $\rightarrow$  Exaggerated here, but that's why we typically say that we need 60 e-folds of inflation, where

$$
\mathcal{N} \propto \ln(a|H|)
$$

• Say we want 60  $e$ -folds of matter-dominated contraction now with

$$
a \propto |t|^{2/3} \implies |H| \propto a^{-3/2}
$$

$$
\implies \mathcal{N} \equiv \ln\left(\frac{a|H|}{a_0|H_0|}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\ln\left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)
$$

• Anisotropy problem  $(f \equiv \rho^{\text{(ani.)}}/\rho^{\text{(mat.)}})$ :

$$
\frac{f}{f_0} = \left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right)^{-3} = e^{6\mathcal{N}} \stackrel{!}{=} e^{360}
$$

- Big fine-tuning problem. Way more than the flatness problem of standard big bang cosmology
- $\Rightarrow$  Very hard to get 'stable' matter-dominated contraction

#### Limiting (extrinsic) curvature

$$
\mathcal{L} \supset \chi \mathcal{I} - V(\chi) \stackrel{\delta_{\chi} S = 0}{\Longrightarrow} \mathcal{I} = V'(\chi) \quad \text{[here } \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}(K_{\mu\nu}, h_{\mu\nu}, D_{\mu})]
$$

$$
|V'(\chi)| \le \text{const.} \implies |\mathcal{I}| \le \text{const.}
$$

• Can we construct  $\mathcal{I} \propto \sigma^2$  in Bianchi I? Sure:

$$
\mathcal{I} \equiv K^{\mu}{}_{\nu} K^{\nu}{}_{\mu} - \frac{1}{3} (K^{\mu}{}_{\mu})^2 \stackrel{\text{BI}}{=} 6\sigma^2
$$

• Then, introduce a new vector field  $A_{\mu}$  with

$$
\mathcal{L} \supset \lambda (A_{\mu}A^{\mu} + 1) \stackrel{\delta_{\lambda}S=0}{\Longrightarrow} A_{\mu}A^{\mu} = -1
$$

 $\rightarrow$  defines a hypersurface with normal unit timelike vector  $n^{\mu} = A^{\mu}$ , so then  $\mathcal{I}=\nabla^{\mu}A_{\nu}\nabla^{\nu}A_{\mu}-\frac{1}{3}(\nabla^{\mu}A_{\mu})^{2}$  and the whole theory has

$$
\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{R}{2} + \lambda (A_\mu A^\mu + 1) + \chi \left(\nabla^\mu A_\nu \nabla^\nu A_\mu - \frac{1}{3} (\nabla^\mu A_\mu)^2 \right) - V(\chi)
$$

 $\rightarrow$  generalised mimetic/cuscuton/æther gravity in which shear anisotropies cannot blow up! Sakakihara, Yoshida, Takahashi & JQ [2005.10844]