

# Cuscuton Gravity as a Classically Stable Limiting Curvature Theory

**Jerome Quintin**

Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics  
(Albert Einstein Institute), Potsdam

Cosmology Journal Club  
DAMTP, Cambridge  
November 19, 2019

Based on work with Daisuke Yoshida (Kobe U.)

arXiv:1911.06040

# Motivation

- GR + normal matter  $\implies$  inevitable singularities Penrose (1965), Hawking (1967), ...
- Even inflationary cosmology (within GR) is inevitably past incomplete and often inextendible Borde & Vilenkin (1994), Border et al. (2003), Yoshida & JQ (2018), ...
- One would thus like to build a theory that is free of these singularities  $\implies$  one has to go beyond classical GR
- Singularity resolution  $\iff$  modify GR (modified gravity, quantum gravity) or matter (energy conditions)

## Not an easy task...

- A popular avenue: consider a generic scalar-tensor theory, e.g., Horndeski, with many free functions
- Those admit non-singular cosmological background solutions
- However, perturbations are often plagued with instabilities: **ghosts and gradient instabilities** → indications for a no-go theorem Libanov et al. (2016), Kobayashi (2016), Creminelli et al. (2016), Cai et al. (2017), ...
- Very few ways of evading the no-go theorem and often at some costs Ijjas & Steinhardt (2016,2017), Cai & Piao (2017), Cai et al. (2017), Kolevator et al. (2017), Dobre et al. (2017), Mironov et al. (2018,2019), Ye & Piao (2019), Banerjee et al. (2019), ...

## Limiting curvature

- Different approach to singularity resolution: impose constraint equations that ensure the boundedness of curvature

⇒ **limiting curvature**

- Example of implementation Mukhanov & Brandenberger (1992), Brandenberger et al. (1993)

$$S = S_{\text{EH}} + \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i I_i(\mathbf{Riem}, \mathbf{g}, \nabla) - V(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n) \right]$$

$$\delta_{\varphi_i} S = 0 \implies I_i = V_{,\varphi_i}$$

$$|V_{,\varphi_i}| < \infty \quad \forall \varphi_i \implies \text{bounded curvature}$$

- Concrete model (e.g.,  $n = 2$ )

$$I_1 = \sqrt{12R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} - 3R^2} \stackrel{\text{FRW}}{\propto} \dot{H}, \quad I_2 = R + I_1 \stackrel{\text{FRW}}{\propto} H^2$$

- → non-singular background cosmology, but severe instabilities Yoshida, JQ et al. (2017)

- Another implementation of limiting curvature: mimetic gravity Chamseddine & Mukhanov (2013,2017), ...

$$S = S_{\text{EH}} + \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} [\lambda(\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi + 1) + \chi \square \phi - V(\chi)]$$

$$\delta_\lambda S = 0 \implies \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi = -1$$

$$\delta_\chi S = 0 \implies \square \phi = V_{,\chi}$$

- E.g.,  $\phi = t \implies \square \phi = 3H$ , so bounding  $V_{,\chi}$  ensures  $H$  does not blow up
- Yet, mimetic gravity suffers from (gradient) instabilities Ijjas et al. (2016), Firouzjahi et al. (2017), Langlois et al. (2019), ...

# Cuscuton gravity

- Setup: GR + non-dynamical scalar field  $\phi$  on cosmological background
- Subclass of ‘minimally-modified gravity’ (modified gravity with only 2 d.o.f., i.e., the 2 tensor modes of GR) [Lin & Mukohyama \(2017\)](#), [Carballo-Rubio et al. \(2018\)](#), [Aoki et al. \(2018,2019\)](#), [Lin \(2019\)](#), [Mukohyama & Noui \(2019\)](#)
- Original implementation: start with  $k$ -essence theory [Afshordi et al. \(2007\)](#)

$$S = S_{\text{EH}} + \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} P(X, \phi), \quad X \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi$$

$$\delta_\phi S = 0 \xrightarrow{\text{FRW}} (P_{,X} + 2XP_{,XX})\ddot{\phi} + 3HP_{,X}\dot{\phi} + P_{,X\phi}\dot{\phi}^2 - P_{,\phi} = 0$$

- Requiring  $P_{,X} + 2XP_{,XX} = 0$  sets

$$P(X, \phi) = c_1(\phi) \sqrt{|X|} + c_2(\phi)$$

- Rescaling  $\phi$ , we can write

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{cuscuton}} = \pm M_L^2 \sqrt{2X} - V(\phi), \quad \partial_\mu \phi \text{ timelike}$$

- EOM becomes a constraint equation:

$$\mp \text{sgn}(\dot{\phi}) 3M_L^2 H = V_{,\phi}$$

- $\rightarrow$  limiting curvature

$$M_L^2 K = V_{,\phi}, \quad K = \nabla_\mu u^\mu, \quad u_\mu = \pm \frac{\partial_\mu \phi}{\sqrt{2X}}$$

- Incompressible perfect fluid

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p)u_\mu u_\nu + pg_{\mu\nu}, \quad \rho = 2XP_{,X} - P = V, \quad p = P$$

$$c_s^2 = \frac{p_{,X}}{\rho_{,X}} = \frac{P_{,X}}{P_{,X} + 2XP_{,XX}} \rightarrow \infty$$

- Other interesting properties:

- forms no caustics [de Rham & Motohashi \(2017\)](#)
- geometrical interpretation [Chagoya & Tasinato \(2017\)](#)
- new symmetries [Pajer & Stefanyshyn \(2019\)](#), [Grall et al. \(2019\)](#)
- and more

- Fluctuations do not propagate:

$$\delta g_{ij} = -2a^2 \zeta \delta_{ij} \implies S_{\text{scalar}}^{(2)} = \int dt d^3 \mathbf{x} a^3 \left( \mathcal{G}_S \dot{\zeta}^2 - \frac{\mathcal{F}_S}{a^2} (\vec{\nabla} \zeta)^2 \right),$$

where  $\mathcal{G}_S = \frac{X}{H^2} (P_{,X} + 2XP_{,XX}) = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_S = -M_{\text{pl}}^2 \dot{H} / H^2$ ;

$$S_{\text{scalar}}^{(2)} = \int dt d^3 \mathbf{x} a^3 \mathcal{G}_S \left( \dot{\zeta}^2 - \frac{c_S^2}{a^2} (\vec{\nabla} \zeta)^2 \right), \quad c_S^2 = \frac{\mathcal{F}_S}{\mathcal{G}_S} \rightarrow \infty$$

- $\longrightarrow$  no-go theorem in Horndeski theory does not apply
- But what happens if  $H = 0$ , e.g., through a bounce?



## Cuscuton gravity with matter

- Consider the addition of a massless scalar field

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{EH}} \pm M_L^2 \sqrt{2X} - V(\phi) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \chi \partial^\mu \chi$$

$$\stackrel{\text{FRW}}{\implies} 3M_{\text{pl}}^2 H^2 = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\chi}^2 + V(\phi), \quad 2M_{\text{pl}}^2 \dot{H} = -\dot{\chi}^2 \mp M_L^2 |\dot{\phi}|$$

- Choose ‘-’ sign in  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{cuscuton}}$
- NEC violation:

$$M_L^2 |\dot{\phi}| > \dot{\chi}^2 \implies 2M_{\text{pl}}^2 \dot{H} = -\dot{\chi}^2 + M_L^2 |\dot{\phi}| > 0$$

- Requirement for a bounce:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sgn}(\dot{\phi}) 3M_L^2 H = V_{,\phi} &\implies 3M_L^2 \dot{H} = V_{,\phi\phi} |\dot{\phi}| \\ V_{,\phi\phi} > 0 &\implies \dot{H} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

# Cosmological perturbations

- Consider the comoving gauge w.r.t.  $\phi$ , so  $\delta\phi = 0$ , but  $\chi(t, \mathbf{x}) = \chi(t) + \delta\chi(t, \mathbf{x})$  and

$$ds^2 = -(1 + 2\Phi)dt^2 + 2a\partial_i B dx^i dt + a^2(1 - 2\Psi)\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j$$

- Perturbed Hamiltonian and momentum constraints in Fourier space (setting  $M_{\text{pl}} = 1$ ):

$$\begin{aligned}(\dot{\chi}^2/2 - 3H^2)\Phi_k + H(k/a)^2 B_k + 3H\dot{\Psi}_k + (k/a)^2\Psi_k - \dot{\chi}\delta\dot{\chi}_k &= 0 \\ 2H\Phi_k - 2\dot{\Psi}_k - \dot{\chi}\delta\chi_k &= 0\end{aligned}$$

- $\longrightarrow$  need to divide by  $H$  (in particular when  $H = 0$ ) to eliminate  $\Phi_k$  and  $B_k$   
 $\longrightarrow$  potential divergences

- After simplification,

$$S_{\text{scalar}}^{(2)} = \int dt d^3\mathbf{k} a z^2 \left( \dot{\zeta}_k^2 - c_s^2 \frac{k^2}{a^2} \zeta_k^2 \right), \quad \zeta_k = -\Psi_k - \frac{H}{\dot{\chi}} \delta\chi_k,$$

where

$$z^2 = a^2 \frac{\dot{\chi}^2 (k^2/a^2 + 3\dot{\chi}^2/2)}{(k/a)^2 H^2 + \dot{\chi}^2 (3H^2 + \underbrace{\dot{H} + \dot{\chi}^2/2}_{=M_L^2|\dot{\phi}|/2})/2} > 0, \quad \checkmark$$

$$c_s^2 = \frac{H^4 k^4/a^4 + A_2 k^2/a^2 + A_0}{H^4 k^4/a^4 + B_2 k^2/a^2 + B_0} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 1 > 0, \quad \checkmark$$

with

$$A_2 \equiv \dot{\chi}^2/2 (12H^2 + 3\dot{H} + \dot{\chi}^2/2) + 2\dot{H}^2 - H\ddot{H}$$

$$A_0 \equiv (\dot{\chi}^2/2)^2 (15H^2 + \dot{H} - \dot{\chi}^2/2) - \dot{\chi}^2/2 (12H^2\dot{H} - 2\dot{H}^2 + 3H\ddot{H})$$

$$B_2 \equiv \dot{\chi}^2/2 (6H^2 + \dot{H} + \dot{\chi}^2/2), \quad B_0 \equiv 3 (\dot{\chi}^2/2)^2 (3H^2 + \dot{H} + \dot{\chi}^2/2)$$

- Note, however,

$$z^2 \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} a^2 \dot{\chi}^2/H^2 \xrightarrow{H \rightarrow 0} \infty$$

## Switch gauge

- Spatially flat ( $\Psi^S = 0$ ):

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_k^S &= -\frac{d}{dt}(\zeta_k/H) + \mathcal{O}(H^0), \quad aB_k^S = \zeta_k/H + \mathcal{O}(H^0), \\ \delta\chi_k^S &= -\dot{\chi}\zeta_k/H + \mathcal{O}(H^0), \quad \delta\phi_k^S = -\dot{\phi}\zeta_k/H + \mathcal{O}(H^0) \\ &\implies \text{ill defined at } H = 0\end{aligned}$$

- Back to comoving gauge w.r.t.  $\phi$  ( $\delta\phi^\phi = 0$ ):

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_k^\phi &= \Phi_k^S - \frac{d}{dt}(\delta\phi_k^S/\dot{\phi}) = -\frac{4}{1 + 3\dot{\chi}^2 a^2/2k^2}\zeta_k + \mathcal{O}(H) \\ aB_k^\phi &= aB_k^S + \delta\phi_k^S/\dot{\phi} = -\frac{3a^2\dot{\chi}^2}{M_L^2 k^2 \dot{\phi}}\dot{\zeta}_k + \mathcal{O}(H) \\ \Psi_k^\phi &= H\delta\phi_k^S/\dot{\phi} = -\zeta_k + \mathcal{O}(H) \\ \delta\chi_k^\phi &= \delta\chi_k^S - \dot{\chi}\delta\phi_k^S/\dot{\phi} = -\frac{2\dot{\chi}}{M_L^2 \dot{\phi}}\dot{\zeta}_k + \mathcal{O}(H)\end{aligned}$$

- $\implies$  divergences exactly cancel out to yield well-defined perturbations at  $H = 0$ 
  - $\implies$  valid perturbed action  $\mathcal{L}_s^{(2)} = az^2(\dot{\zeta}_k^2 - c_s^2 k^2 \zeta_k^2 / a^2)$
- Comoving gauge w.r.t.  $\chi$  ( $\delta\chi^X = 0$ ):

$$\Phi_k^\chi = \Phi_k^S - \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\delta\chi_k^S}{\dot{\chi}} \right) = \cancel{-\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\zeta_k}{H} \right)} - \cancel{\frac{d}{dt} \left( -\frac{\zeta_k}{H} \right)} + \mathcal{O}(H^0)$$

$$aB_k^\chi = aB_k^S + \frac{\delta\chi_k^S}{\dot{\chi}} = \cancel{\frac{\zeta_k}{H}} + \left( -\frac{\zeta_k}{H} \right) + \mathcal{O}(H^0)$$

$$\Psi_k^\chi = H \frac{\delta\chi_k^S}{\dot{\chi}} = H \left( -\frac{\zeta_k}{H} \right) + \mathcal{O}(H^0)$$

$$\delta\phi_k^\chi = \delta\phi_k^S - \dot{\phi} \frac{\delta\chi_k^S}{\dot{\chi}} = \cancel{-\frac{\dot{\phi}}{H} \zeta_k} - \cancel{\dot{\phi} \left( -\frac{\zeta_k}{H} \right)} + \mathcal{O}(H^0)$$

$\longrightarrow$  all finite at  $H = 0$

- Newtonian gauge ( $B^N = 0$ ):

$$\Phi_k^N = \Phi_k^S + \frac{d}{dt}(aB_k^S) = -\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\zeta_k}{H}\right) + \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\zeta_k}{H}\right) + \mathcal{O}(H^0)$$

$$\Psi_k^N = -aHB_k^S = -H\frac{\zeta_k}{H} + \mathcal{O}(H^0)$$

$$\delta\phi_k^N = \delta\phi_k^S + a\dot{\phi}B_k^S = -\dot{\phi}\frac{\zeta_k}{H} + \dot{\phi}\frac{\zeta_k}{H} + \mathcal{O}(H^0)$$

$$\delta\chi_k^N = \delta\chi_k^S + a\dot{\chi}B_k^S = -\dot{\chi}\frac{\zeta_k}{H} + \dot{\chi}\frac{\zeta_k}{H} + \mathcal{O}(H^0)$$

→ all finite at  $H = 0$

## So what really goes on close to $H = 0$ ?

- Take the limit  $H \rightarrow 0$  first and then  $k \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$S_s^{(2)H \approx 0} \simeq \frac{4}{M_L^2} \int dt d^3\mathbf{k} \frac{ak^2}{|\phi|} \left[ \dot{\zeta}_k^2 - \left( 1 + \frac{\dot{H}}{\chi^2} \right) \frac{k^2}{a^2} \zeta_k^2 \right], \quad (\text{UV})$$

- $\rightarrow$  confirms that there is no divergence
- Sound speed when  $H \approx 0$  (reinserting  $M_{\text{pl}}$ , defining  $m^2 \equiv V_{,\phi\phi}|_{\text{bounce}}$ ):

$$c_s^2 \stackrel{\frac{k}{a} \ll \mathcal{O}(\dot{\chi})}{\sim} -\frac{1}{3} + \frac{4m^2 M_{\text{pl}}^2}{3(3M_L^4 - 2m^2 M_{\text{pl}}^2)} \in (0, 1] \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{1}{2} < \frac{m^2 M_{\text{pl}}^2}{M_L^4} \leq 1$$

$$c_s^2 \stackrel{\frac{k}{a} \gtrsim \mathcal{O}(\dot{\chi})}{\sim} 1 + \frac{4m^2 M_{\text{pl}}^2}{3M_L^4 - 2m^2 M_{\text{pl}}^2} \sim \mathcal{O}(1 - 10)$$

- $\rightarrow$  superluminality near  $H \approx 0$  for mid- to large- $k$  modes

## Evolution of $\zeta_k$ in the IR in a bounce phase

- The evolution of  $\zeta_k$  in the IR through a bounce phase links perturbations from a contracting phase (scale invariant?) to the CMB
- For  $k \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\ddot{\zeta} + \left( \frac{\dot{a}}{a} + 2\frac{\dot{z}}{z} \right) \dot{\zeta} = 0 \implies \zeta = \text{const.} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta(t) \propto \int^t \frac{dt}{az^2}$$

- Can  $\zeta$  undergo significant amplification? Generally not the case, but if so, possibly important non-Gaussianities generated [Battarra et al. \(2014\)](#), [JQ et al. \(2015\)](#)
- In general, if  $z \propto a$  (constant EoS), then  $\Delta\zeta < \dot{\zeta}_i (a_i/a_B)^3 \Delta t$
- Here,

$$z^2 \stackrel{k \rightarrow 0}{\simeq} \frac{3a^2 \dot{\chi}^2 / M_{\text{pl}}^2}{3H^2 + \dot{H} + \dot{\chi}^2 / 2M_{\text{pl}}^2} \approx a^2$$



- One finds  $\Delta\zeta < \dot{\zeta}_i (a_i/a_B)^3 \mathcal{E} \Delta t$  with

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1 + 3 \left( 1 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{M_L^4}{m^2 M_{\text{pl}}^2} \right) \left( \frac{a_i}{a_B} \right)^3 \left( \frac{H_i^2}{\dot{H}_B} + \frac{1}{3} \right)}{1 + 3 \left( 1 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{M_L^4}{m^2 M_{\text{pl}}^2} \right) \left( \frac{a_i}{a_B} \right)^6 \frac{H_i^2}{\dot{H}_B}}$$

- Recall

$$1 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{M_L^4}{m^2 M_{\text{pl}}^2} \sim \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \text{so } \mathcal{E} \gg 1 \text{ is impossible}$$

- $\longrightarrow$  large wavelength curvature perturbations passing through a bounce cannot receive more amplification than  $\mathcal{O}(\dot{\zeta}_i (a_i/a_B)^3 \Delta t)$

## Take-home messages

- Cuscuton gravity is a limiting curvature theory (bounds the extrinsic curvature)
- One can resolve cosmological singularities
- Cosmological perturbations are stable: no ghost and no gradient instability
- Sound speed becomes superluminal, only in the UV and near the bounce
- Curvature perturbations remain constant in the IR through a bounce
- Spatially-flat gauge ill defined at  $H = 0$
- Divergences at  $H = 0$  cancel out in other gauges
- Conclusions transpose to extended cuscuton model (see additional slides)

## Future directions

- Strong coupling problem? [de Rham & Melville \(2017\)](#)  
Non-Gaussianities? [JQ et al. \(2015\)](#)

- Quantization and UV completion?

- New generalized limiting curvature? Instead of

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{lim}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i I_i(\mathbf{Riem}, \mathbf{g}, \nabla) - V(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$$

consider

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{lim}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i I_i(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{D}) - V(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$$

- Cuscuton  $\equiv$  vector mimetic?

# Acknowledgments

**Thank you for your attention!**

I acknowledge support from the following agencies:



## **Additional slides**

## Example of bouncing solution

- Let  $\phi = 0$  correspond to the bounce point. Then consider

$$V(\phi) \simeq V_0 + \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2, \quad m^2 = V_{,\phi\phi}(\phi = 0) > 0$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{EOM}} \phi \simeq \frac{3M_L^2}{m^2}H, \quad 3\tilde{M}^2 H^2 \simeq \frac{1}{2}\dot{\chi}^2 + V_0, \quad 2\tilde{M}^2 \dot{H} \simeq -\dot{\chi}^2$$

$$V_0 < 0, \quad \tilde{M}^2 \equiv M_{\text{pl}}^2 \left( 1 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{M_L^4}{m^2 M_{\text{pl}}^2} \right) < 0 \implies \frac{m^2 M_{\text{pl}}^2}{M_L^4} < \frac{3}{2}$$

- Taylor series solution:

$$a(t) \simeq a_0 \left( 1 + \frac{V_0}{2\tilde{M}^2} t^2 \right), \quad H(t) \simeq \frac{V_0}{\tilde{M}^2} t, \quad \dot{H} \simeq \frac{V_0}{\tilde{M}^2}$$

- For full solution, see [Boruah et al. \(2018\)](#)

## Extended cuscuton

- Rather than starting with  $P(X, \phi)$ , start with Horndeski or even beyond-Horndeski theory, and impose [Iyonaga et al. \(2018\)](#)
  - 1 the background EOM to be at most a first-order constraint equation
  - 2 and the kinetic term of scalar perturbations to vanish→ extended cuscuton  $\supset$  original cuscuton
- Alternatively, in the ADM formalism, one can construct a Hamiltonian, satisfying the appropriate conditions for the theory to propagate at most 2 gravitational d.o.f. and remaining invariant under 3-D diffeomorphisms (but possibly breaking time diffeomorphism invariance) [Mukohyama & Noui \(2019\)](#)  
→ minimally-modified gravity  $\supset$  extended cuscuton

- As an example, consider the following:

$$S = S_{\text{EH}} + \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( -M_L^2 \sqrt{2X} - V(\phi) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \chi \partial^\mu \chi \right) \\ + \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \lambda \left[ -\frac{3\lambda}{M_{\text{pl}}^2} (2X) + \ln \left( \frac{2X}{\Lambda^4} \right) \square \phi \right]$$

- FRW (pick  $\dot{\phi} > 0$ ):

$$3M_{\text{pl}}^2 \Theta^2 = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\chi}^2 + V(\phi)$$

$$2M_{\text{pl}}^2 \dot{\Theta} = -\dot{\chi}^2 + (M_L^2 + 6\lambda\Theta) \dot{\phi}$$

$$3M_L^2 \Theta = V_{,\phi} - \frac{6\lambda}{M_{\text{pl}}^2} V(\phi)$$

where

$$\Theta \equiv H + \frac{\lambda}{M_{\text{pl}}^2} \dot{\phi}$$



# Cosmological perturbations

- Consider the spatially-flat gauge. The solution to the set of perturbed Hamiltonian and momentum constraints read ( $M_{\text{pl}} = 1$ )

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^S &= \frac{1}{2\Theta} \left( \dot{\chi} \delta\chi^S - (M_L^2 + 6\lambda\Theta) \delta\phi^S + 2\lambda \delta\dot{\phi}^S \right), \\ aB^S &= -\frac{\lambda}{\Theta} \delta\phi^S + \frac{a^2}{2k^2\Theta^2} \left[ \dot{\chi} \left( (3\Theta^2 - \frac{\dot{\chi}^2}{2}) \delta\chi^S + \Theta \delta\dot{\chi}^S \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\dot{\chi}^2}{2} \left( M_L^2 \delta\phi^S - 2\lambda \delta\dot{\phi}^S \right) \right]\end{aligned}$$

→ potentially dangerous when  $\Theta = 0$

- With

$$\zeta \equiv -\frac{\Theta}{\dot{\chi}}\delta\chi^S + \lambda\delta\phi^S,$$

one finds

$$S_s^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \int dt d^3\mathbf{k} a z^2 \left( \zeta_k^2 - c_s^2 \frac{k^2}{a^2} \zeta_k^2 \right)$$

where

$$z^2 = \frac{a^2 \dot{\chi}^2}{\Theta^2 + \frac{M_L^4 \frac{\dot{\chi}^2}{2} (\frac{\dot{\chi}^2}{2} + \dot{\Theta})}{(M_L^2 + 6\lambda\Theta) \left( (M_L^2 + 8\lambda\Theta) k^2 / a^2 + 3M_L^2 \frac{\dot{\chi}^2}{2} \right)}} > 0,$$

$$c_s^2 = \frac{\tilde{A}_4(k/a)^4 + \tilde{A}_2(k/a)^2 + \tilde{A}_0}{\tilde{B}_4(k/a)^4 + \tilde{B}_2(k/a)^2 + \tilde{B}_0} = 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{a^2}{k^2}\right) > 0$$

## What happens when $\Theta = 0$ ?

- Apparent divergences actually exactly cancel out!

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\phi^S &= \frac{\zeta}{\lambda} + \mathcal{O}(\Theta) \\ \implies \delta\chi^S &= -\frac{\dot{\chi}}{\Theta}\zeta + \lambda\frac{\dot{\chi}}{\Theta}\delta\phi^S = \mathcal{O}(\Theta^0) \\ &= \frac{\dot{\chi}}{2\lambda(\dot{\chi}^2/2 + \dot{\Theta})}(M_L^2\zeta - 2\lambda\dot{\zeta}) + \mathcal{O}(\Theta)\end{aligned}$$

- Similarly,

$$\Phi^S = \mathcal{O}(\Theta^0) \quad \text{and} \quad aB^S = \mathcal{O}(\Theta^0)$$

# Sound speed near the bounce

